Size Dependence of Tracer Diffusion in a Laponite Colloidal Gel
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Using a fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) technique, we present measurements of probe diffusion in a colloidal glass—a Laponite suspension. By varying the probe size over 2 orders of magnitude, as well as the concentration of the colloidal glass, we evidence and quantify the deviations of the probe diffusivity from the bulk Stokes–Einstein expectations. These experiments suggest that the probe diffusion in the dynamically arrested Laponite structure is mainly controlled by the ratio between the probe size and the typical clay platelets’ distance. Comparing with a simple hindered diffusion mechanism, the reduction of tracer diffusion is discussed in terms of the hydrodynamic interaction of the probe with the Laponite structure. Finally, these results can be interpreted in terms of a scale dependent viscosity of the colloidal glass.

Introduction

Soft glassy materials—as exemplified by concentrated emulsions, granular materials, colloidal glasses, and so forth—are complex and disordered materials encountered in a wide range of everyday life applications, from paints to cosmetics or food industry. The description of these systems, the behavior of which is intermediate between solid and fluid, is however fundamentally challenging as a result of their intrinsic out-of-equilibrium nature, associated with the dynamical arrest in the disordered state occurring at the jamming (or glass) transition. As such, the understanding of the complex phenomenology shared by these diverse systems has attracted numerous theoretical and experimental works devoted in particular to the breakdown of ergodicity and the existence of long relaxation times leading to the so-called aging of the material, the identification of dynamical heterogeneities in the material between “frozen” and fast relaxing regions, or the appearance of a strongly heterogeneous material response under flow and deformation associated with shear-banding phenomena and flow cooperativity. Among the various systems studied so far, aqueous suspensions of Laponite clay platelets have been used extensively because of their industrial interest as gelling agents or oil drilling fluids, together with practical advantages associated with their transparency, which makes them well suited for light scattering or other optical techniques, and the fact that they exhibit a complete phenomenological picture, from aging to shear-thinning, thixotropy, and shear-banding. Laponite suspensions in their glassy state were used, for instance, to study aging dynamics associated with slow structural relaxation due to cooperative reorganizations of particles, in soft glassy materials. They were used also to investigate the theoretical proposal of extending the well-known fluctuation–dissipation theorem formalism to describe out-of-equilibrium systems, through the incorporation of an effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}}$ differing from the bath temperature. One should, however, acknowledge that the origin of the dynamical arrest in a Laponite suspension remains debated: whether the solidification of the material stems from the attraction between the negatively charged faces and positively charged edges of Laponite platelets, providing percolating aggregates as in gels, or from the repulsion between the electrical double layers of the Laponite platelets, as in glasses, has not received a general agreement.

Overall, this points to the difficulties inherent to the description of these soft glassy materials and to the need for additional information on the local dynamical properties in such systems. This is the purpose of the present work where the Laponite suspension behavior and structure is probed through the measurement of the diffusive properties of colloidal tracer particles of various sizes. The diffusive motion of particles in simple liquids is well described by the celebrated Stokes–Einstein relation:

$$D = \frac{kT}{\xi}$$

(1)

where $\xi = 3\pi\eta a$ is the Stokes friction coefficient for a sphere with diameter $a$, $\eta$ is the viscosity of the simple liquid considered as a continuum medium, $k$ is the Boltzmann constant, and $T$ is the
absolute temperature. The Stokes expression for the friction coefficient holds in the case of a single particle diffusing in an infinite bulk liquid characterized by its viscosity \( \eta \). When diffusion of the tracer occurs in an heterogeneous material, such as porous media, gels, or concentrated complex systems, the diffusion is hindered by interactions, especially the long-range hydrodynamic interaction, of the tracer with the matrix structure.\(^{19-23}\)

While the structure of glassy and glass-forming materials are spatially disordered,\(^3\) such systems have been shown to be dynamically correlated on long time scales.\(^4,25\) In this context, tracers are usually chosen with the same size as the material constituents, as, for example, for the above-mentioned studies: molecular size in refs 26 and 28 and colloidal sizes (from 100 nm to 1 \( \mu m \)) in refs 5 and 27.

Although theoretical works suggest that the tracer characteristics dictate the way it couples to the soft glass and affects, for instance, the effective temperature it probes,\(^{29}\) it appears that very little is known on the experimental side about how changing the tracer size modifies its diffusive motion in such systems. This is therefore the point on which we will more specifically focus in this study: we use a fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) technique to investigate the diffusive motion of tracers in a (colloidal) glass as a function of their size, covering probe sizes ranging from molecular (1 nm) up to 100 nm. This range of scale allows us to probe the microscopic length scales of the Laponite suspension (platelet size and interdistance), typically on the order of 10 nm.

The paper is organized as follows. First, we discuss all experimental details in section 2, presenting the dedicated FRAP setup (section 2.2) for measuring diffusion coefficients, and performing careful validations for diffusivity measurements in Laponite suspensions (section 2.3), regarding in particular aging effects and the sensitivity to Laponite preparation protocol. Then, we present the experimental results, i.e., the tracer diffusion coefficients in Laponite as a function of the probe size and Laponite concentration (section 3.1) and analyze them in terms of Laponite suspensions (section 2.3), presenting the dedicated FRAP setup followed by the results on the diffusion properties (see below).

Note that the range of available Laponite concentration is quite narrow. For mass fraction of 1\%, i.e., 10 g L\(^{-1}\), or less, the gelation time is generally too long, and the Laponite solution is damaged before gelation (due in particular to the long time chemical deterioration due to CO\(_2\)). For mass fraction of 4\%, i.e., 42 g L\(^{-1}\), or more, gelation occurs before the stirring has dispersed the powder, therefore an inhomogeneous and cloudy gel is obtained.

As fluorescent probe particles, we used either fluorescent dye molecules, the hydrodynamical diameter of which is about 1 nm, or commercial polystyrene fluorescent beads with diameters ranging from 25 to 100 nm. We mention that finding fluorescent tracers with size smaller than 100 nm, suitable for working in Laponite suspension is a difficult task, as emphasized in ref 36. The stability of the colloidal tracers dispersed in water buffered at pH 10 was systematically tested. We only considered stable colloids with diffusion coefficients showing no significant variations on time scales comparable with Laponite suspension gelation time (see the section entitled “Measurement of Tracer Diffusion in Laponite”). In a few situations (nanoH\(_2\)O fluorescent beads) the gelation of Laponite solution was observed to be prevented by the presence of the tracers, and those measurements were accordingly discarded. Altogether, the different fluorescent probe particles used in this study are presented in Table 1. The reported sizes (1, 25, 37, 65, and 100 nm) have been determined through a FRAP measurement of their diffusive properties in pure water solutions. Initial colloidal solutions are diluted in ultrapure water (Milli-Q, Millipore) at concentrations below 0.2\% in volume, which provided a satisfactory trade-off for FRAP measurements: low enough to remain bleachable without significant heating of the liquid, and high enough to lead to a good signal-to-noise ratio. Finally, the combination of small particles and weak density mismatch (1.05 for polystyrene spheres) prevented the occurrence of sedimentation processes in the course of the experiments.

**FRAP Measurement Setup.** Diffusion coefficient measurements were carried out by means of a modified version of the FRAP technique, which has been widely used in biophysics in the study of diffusion, for instance, of protein diffusion in phospholipid bilayers.\(^{37}\) The general principle of the measurement is that an intense laser beam is used to photobleach the fluorescence of tracers in a small region of the sample. A much weaker beam then monitors the recovery of the fluorescence signal as unbleached tracers diffuse into the bleached area. In order to gain accuracy and robustness against possible artifacts, our implementation of the FRAP technique differs from the classical one of Axelrod et al.,\(^{37}\) where only the overall fluorescence signal of the bleached area is negatively charged, depending on pH.\(^{32-34}\) Solutions are obtained by progressively adding the desired amount of Laponite RD (Rockwood Additives, Ltd., used as received) to a dilution of fluorescent tracer particles in water, to obtain Laponite concentrations from 1 to 3.4\%. The Laponite suspension self-buffers at pH 10, with an ionic strength of 2–8 mM\(^+\) in the absence of any added salt. The obtained solution is stirred vigorously for 30 min to remove the initial turbidity and subsequently introduced in the optical cell and sealed with parafilm. The age of the Laponite system is calculated from this time on. A control vessel containing the same solution is kept to check the macroscopic gelation of the gel.\(^{35}\) Typically, the gelation time ranges from a few minutes to a few months, and decreases with increasing Laponite concentration (see below).


(35) The term “gelation” is used in this paper to describe the macroscopic dynamical arrest of the structure.


---


The initial fluorescent intensity profile of the imprinted layer just after photobleaching appears perfectly described by a Gaussian profile $I(z,0) = I_0 \exp(-2z^2/w(t)^2)$ and therefore remains Gaussian throughout its evolution (Figure 2b) governed by one-dimensional diffusion laws:

$$I(z,t) = I_0 \frac{w_0}{w(t)^2} \exp\left(-\frac{2z^2}{w(t)^2}\right)$$

from which the diffusion coefficient of the fluorescent probe is obtained.\(^{(39)}\)

Comparison with usual implementations of the FRAP technique, imaging the photobleached area presents several advantages. First, the actual initial condition immediately after photobleaching is actually measured, and does not need to be modeled. Second, the presence of hydrodynamic flows is readily detected, avoiding artifacts in analysis of the fluorescence intensity evolution. This is particularly important for FRAP experiments in low viscosity systems where thermal heating during photobleaching can give rise to convective instabilities. This was especially true with colloidal probes, the quantum yield of which was, according to the manufacturers, at most below 50%, getting much lower when the colloids size increases. This effect manifested itself directly on global distortions of the photobleached layer resulting from a nonzero velocity field, as can be seen in the inset of Figure 2a. Such a convective effect is also evidenced on the squared width evolution, $w(t)$, which departs from a linear time behavior (not shown) as a consequence of the existence of a hydrodynamical flux replacing the diffusional migration of the fluorescent colloids.

In the following, special care was taken to avoid these thermo-convecitive effects, first by minimizing the photobleaching time and power while keeping a good enough signal-to-noise ratio, and second by confining the liquid in narrow optical cells which helps stabilizing the system. Depending on the viscosity of the system, containers with sizes from 250 μm to 3 mm were used.

Overall, our setup provides a very good accuracy for determining diffusion constants in water in the range $1 \times 10^{-12}$ μm²/s, with standard deviations on the measurements of a few percent.

\section*{Measurements of Tracer Diffusion in Laponite. Diffusion versus Age.} The diffusion coefficients of the fluorescent tracers in the Laponite suspension were measured as a function of the time elapsed since cell filling. For all studied tracers and concentrations of Laponite, the time evolution of the diffusion coefficient presents first a decrease, due to the dynamical arrest process, before reaching a plateau after a “gelation time” $t_g$ (see Figure 3). The dynamical arrest process, responsible for the gelation and aging dynamics of Laponite solutions, has been the object of numerous studies: $t_g$ is known to increase when the

\begin{table}
\centering
\caption{Table 1. Summary of Fluorescent Probe Particles Used\(^{a}\)}
\begin{tabular}{c c c c}
\hline
FRAP measured diameter $\sigma$ (nm) & nominal diameter $a_n$ (nm) & diffusion coefficient $D$ (μm²/s) & standard error \(\Delta D\) (μm²/s) \\
\hline
1 & 280 & 427 & 5 \\
25 ± 0.5 & 280 & 17.5 & 0.3 \\
37 ± 2 & 500 & 11.7 & 0.6 \\
65 ± 7 & 700 & 6.7 & 0.7 \\
100 ± 2 & 1000 & 4.3 & 0.1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\(^{a}\) The actual size is deduced from FRAP measurements of the particles diffusion coefficient in water through Stokes-Einstein law. \(^{b}\) Colloid manufacturer: FluoProbes. \(^{c}\) Colloid manufacturer: Polyscience. \(^{d}\) Colloid manufacturer: Kisker. \(^{e}\) Colloid manufacturer: Molecular Probes.


\(^{(39)}\) The Gaussian amplitude evolution can also be used to deduce diffusivity, although less accurately mostly because of residual bleaching during the recovery process.

---

The image here shows the imprinted photobleaching pattern as a function of time.

More precisely (see Figure 1), the 488 nm ray (2 W power) of an Ar⁺ laser (Spectra-Physics) is divided into a photobleaching beam and an illuminating one by a 90%/10% beam splitter. The photobleaching beam passes through a cylindrical–spherical lens combination to generate a horizontal laser sheet having a vertical beam waist of 110 μm at the location of the sample cell. The illuminating beam is further attenuated by a half-wave plate combined with a Glan-Taylor polarizer before passing through a spatial filter and being enlarged to provide uniform illumination at the scale of the observed sample region. The latter is monitored onto a cooled charge-coupled device (CCD) camera (CoolSnap HQ2, RoperScientific) through a microscope objective and an emission bandpass filter (S45AF75, Omega). The whole setup is located on a vibration-damping table, while photobleaching and illuminating beamlines are controlled by computer-driven shutters.

Depending on the fluorescent probe characteristics and concentration, and on the cell geometry, a photobleached layer is imprinted into the sample in a time ranging from 50 ms to 3 s (Figure 2a). The evolution of the photobleached layer is then followed through the intensity profile $I(z,t)$ along the vertical direction (perpendicular to bleached layer) extracted from camera images (Figure 2b). To improve accuracy, remaining inhomogeneities in the illuminating beam are corrected using a recorded image of the prebleached (homogeneously fluorescent) sample, and vertical intensity profiles are averaged in the $x$ (horizontal) direction.

The initial fluorescent intensity profile of the imprinted layer just after photobleaching appears perfectly described by a Gaussian profile $I(z,0) = I_0 \exp(-2z^2/w(t)^2)$ and therefore remains Gaussian throughout its evolution (Figure 2b) governed by one-dimensional diffusion laws:

$$I(z,t) = I_0 \frac{w_0}{w(t)^2} \exp\left(-\frac{2z^2}{w(t)^2}\right)$$

where $w(t)$, the 1/2 profile waist, obeys the classical relationship (Figure 2c)

$$w(t)^2/2 = 4Dt + w_0^2/2$$

from which the diffusion coefficient of the fluorescent probe is obtained.\(^{(39)}\)

---

**Figure 1.** FRAP setup (see text for details).
In the present paper, we therefore choose to concentrate on the behavior of the system past the initial evolution of the diffusion coefficient of the colloids, at times $t \gg t_\text{gel}$. We report and discuss hereafter the dependency of the diffusion coefficient on the plateau $D_\infty$, (see in Figure 3) as a function of the Laponite concentration and probe dimensions. We stress that $D_\infty$ may also experience a slow evolution due to the aging of the Laponite suspension. But this change occurs on time scales much greater than the ones investigated here and was not observed.

**Independence of Diffusion on Experimental Protocol.** In addition to gelation time, the phase diagram of the Laponite gel is known to be very sensitive to small changes in the experimental conditions. Before moving to experimental results, we therefore first checked the influence of the detailed procedure of Laponite preparation on the previously defined diffusion coefficient of the probes $D_\infty$.

First of all, water readily adsorbs on Laponite clay, and we therefore compared results obtained when the Laponite powder is either dried or not before its dispersion in water. Such a drying of the clay was achieved following the procedure used in ref 10: the powder is heated in an oven at $T = 400 \text{ K}$ for 4 h before being added to ultrapure water. In addition to water adsorption, filtration of the suspension also proved to be of primary importance when studying the light scattering signal from Laponite solutions. We therefore also checked the effect of such a filtering on our probe diffusion measurements. When filtered, the suspension is pushed through a 0.45 $\mu$m filter to break up remaining aggregates.

Overall, a benchmark comparison was conducted on the probe diffusion properties for four different sample preparation procedures: (1) undried and unfiltered suspension, (2) undried and filtered suspension, (3) dried and unfiltered suspension, (4) dried and filtered suspension. In all cases, a nominal laponite concentration of $c_n = 2.9$ wt % was achieved by dispersing the desired amount of the operated clay in ultrapure water. We first examine the actual Laponite concentration $c$ resulting from the different sample preparations (see in Table 2), as determined from titrimetry and induced coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICPAES). The principle of Laponite

---

**Figure 2.** Evolution of a photobleached slab of solution. (a) Typical image of the photobleached sheet (inset: sheet distortion in the presence of thermal convection). (b) Fluorescence intensity profiles of fluorescein solution photobleached at $t = 0$, after various elapsed times (from 3 to 64 s). (c) Time evolution of the squared width $w^2$ of the Gaussian photobleached intensity profile during fluorescence recovery.

**Figure 3.** Typical time evolution of the diffusion coefficient of colloidal probe in laponite suspension (25 nm diameter colloids [see Table 1] in $c = 1$ wt % laponite [nominal concentration]). The rather short gelation time measured for this low laponite concentration is probably due to the presence of surfactants conveyed by the added solution of fluorescent colloids.

Laponite concentration decreases, going here from less than a day for $c \geq 3$ wt %, to a few days for $c = 2$ wt % and up to 20–60 days for $c = 1$ wt %, values that are consistent with other studies, although the techniques of investigation are different. Indeed the reported values of $t_\text{gel}$ demonstrate appreciable disparities in gelation time determinations. This can be explained partly by differences in definition depending on the performed experiments (dynamic light scattering or rheological measurements). Associated with this source of discrepancies, it is worth mentioning that the gelation process is also affected by small physicochemical changes and is therefore expected to be somewhat sensitive to the incorporation of tracers, although at low concentration.

In the present paper, we therefore choose to concentrate on the behavior of the system past the initial evolution of the diffusion coefficient of the colloids, at times $t \gg t_\text{gel}$.
Table 2. Influence of the Sample Preparation Procedure on the Actual Laponite Concentrations and on the Diffusion Coefficients $D_\infty$ of 37 nm Diameter Colloids in the Associated Laponite Gel$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>operating procedure</th>
<th>titrimetry concentration ($c$ (wt %))</th>
<th>ICPAES concentration ($c$ (wt %))</th>
<th>titrimetry concentration ratio $c/c_n$</th>
<th>FRAP diffusion ratio $D_\infty/D_0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) undried/ unfiltered laponite</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) undried/ filtered laponite</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) dried/ unfiltered laponite</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) dried/ filtered laponite</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$The diffusion coefficients are normalized by the diffusion coefficient $D_0^{(2)}$ measured with the procedure (2). The measured concentrations are normalized by the nominal sample concentration $c_n = 2.9$ wt %.

Suspension titrimetry is described in detail in ref 44: it consists in dissolving Laponite at low pH before analyzing the concentration of dissolved Mg$^{2+}$ by using complexiometric titrations. Starting with the effect of water absorption by Laponite powder, we note, comparing actual ($c$) and nominal ($c_n$) Laponite concentrations, that the undried clay retains 13% of water (protocol (1), Table 2). This value is fully consistent with available results for water adsorption isotherms showing that, in standard conditions of temperature and humidity, Laponite adsorbs about 15% of water. The powder drying procedure indeed removes a significant part of the retained water but still shows a lower Laponite concentration ($c < c_n$, protocol (3), Table 2) associated with the remaining 5% water content, presumably due in part to water reabsorption outside the oven. Finally, the effect of suspension filtering on the final laponite content is assessed by comparing protocols (1,2) or (3,4) in Table 2; overall it shows that about 7% of the retained water but still shows a lower Laponite concentration ($c < c_n$, protocol (3), Table 2) associated with the remaining 5% water content, presumably due in part to water reabsorption outside the oven. Finally, the effect of suspension filtering on the final laponite content is assessed by comparing protocols (1,2) or (3,4) in Table 2; overall it shows that about 7% of the initial amount is lost in the process, a value again consistent with the literature where the effect of different filter sizes on light scattering measurements have been reported.

Turning now to the diffusion properties of the same 37 nm colloidal probes in the differently prepared samples, it can be seen in Table 2 that $D_\infty$ indeed varies with the preparation protocol. However, as can be clearly seen in Figure 4, such variations are merely related to the changes in the actual Laponite concentration and do not depend on the filtering itself (and the associated removal of platelet aggregates to which light scattering is sensitive): the higher the actual concentration $c$ of the prepared suspension, the lower the diffusion coefficient.

Provided the Laponite concentration is properly evaluated, the so-defined probe diffusion property thus appears well-defined and insensitive to the details of sample preparations. In the following, all samples are prepared in the simplest way (undried/unfiltered), and Laponite concentrations $c$ are measured independently using titrimetry. After these different experimental validations, we can now turn to the examination of the colloidal probes' dynamics in Laponite glasses.

**Probe Size Dependence of Diffusion in Laponite**

**Diffusion Coefficient of Colloids in Laponite.** We now examine the diffusive properties of colloidal tracer particles of various sizes, in order to probe Laponite suspension behavior and structure and capture some additional information on the local dynamical properties in this soft glassy material. Tracer characteristics were, for instance, theoretically predicted to dictate the way the tracer couples to the soft glass, thus affecting the effective temperature it probes. We therefore more specifically focus here on the role of the probed length scale, say $a$ in the Stokes–Einstein relationship in eq 1, on the measured diffusion coefficient $D_\infty$. As already noted, in a simple liquid, the product $(Da)$ should be constant (eq 1), whereas it is expected to vary in a glassy system if the size of the tracer approaches a characteristic length of the colloidal suspension.

We report in Figure 5 the experimental diffusive properties of probes with sizes ranging from about 1 nm up to 100 nm (see Table 1) in Laponite glasses of various concentrations $c$. This figure displays, for the various tracers, the evolution of the probe diffusion coefficient in the Laponite glass, normalized by its measured value in pure water ($D_\infty^\ast = D_\infty(c)/D_\infty^0$), as a function of Laponite concentration $c$. Each data point gathers the results of 10 to 100 independent measurements on different samples, from which the average value and standard deviation is obtained.

First, two extreme behaviors are readily observed. On the small side, fluorescein molecules, with a hydrodynamic diameter around 1 nm, appear to keep a constant diffusion coefficient throughout the explored Laponite concentrations, thereby being

Figure 4. Diffusion coefficient of 37 nm diameter colloids as a function of the measured concentration of Laponite elaborated with different operating procedures (see text). The nominal concentration of Laponite is $c_n = 2.9$ wt % in the four cases.

Figure 5. Evolution of the normalized diffusion coefficient, $D_\infty^\ast = D_\infty(c)/D_\infty^0$, of colloidal tracers in Laponite, as a function of the concentration of laponite for various tracer sizes: ($\bigcirc$) 1 nm fluorescein molecules; ($\triangle$) 25 nm diameter colloids; (□) 37 nm diameter colloids; ($\bigtriangledown$) 65 nm diameter colloids; (○) 100 nm diameter colloids.

(47) More precisely, the concentration of Mg$^{2+}$ is determined by titrating with ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA). The end of the titration is determined by the appearance of the Mg$^{2+}$–eriochrome black T complex by way of the suspension color change from red to blue.

totally unaffected by the presence of the Laponite disks in the glassy medium. On the large size side, at the opposite, the 65 and 100 nm diameter colloidal tracers are completely frozen in the Laponite glass, even for the lowest clay concentration we could investigate: \( c \approx 1\% \). Their diffusivity therefore dropped to an extremely low value, below the sensitivity of our technique, in line with the high macroscopic viscosity of the Laponite glass under these conditions.\(^{16,46} \)

Second, for intermediate probe sizes, corresponding here to 25 and 37 nm diameter colloids, the tracers diffusivity is lower than the one corresponding to the free solvent (water) dynamics, and gradually evolves toward a complete arrest ("gelled" medium) as the Laponite concentration increases. Comparing the evolution for the two different sizes, one finds evidence that the larger probe reaches the same \( D^* \) (corresponding to the same reduction in diffusivity relative to the free solvent dynamics) for a lower Laponite concentration than the smaller one.

To better emphasize this size sensitivity of the probe slowing down, let us stress that 25 and 65 nm diameter colloids differ in normalized diffusion coefficient by more than two decades while only differing by less than a factor of 3 in size. This result is similar to the enhancement in rotational diffusion of small molecules measured in ref 28, even though the investigated length scales are different in the two studies. As an illustration, we performed a test experiment using a Kisker nanosphere batch having a bimodal diameter distribution (with peaks given by the manufacturer at 26 and 75 nm). FRAP measurements performed in water (not shown) enabled us to establish that the actual diameters were rather 25 and 65 nm. When dispersed in the same \( c = 2.4 \text{ wt }\% \) Laponite suspension, a complete separation in diffusion time scales is evident as shown in Figure 6. The effective photobleached width first increases as a result of 25 nm diameter colloid diffusion, with a dynamics agreeing with the one measured on a monodisperse batch for a similar Laponite concentration (Figure 5). At larger times, only small colloids have homogenized, and the photobleached width is dominated by the 65 nm colloid signal. The latter appear completely frozen in the glass, with diffusion dynamics orders of magnitude slower than expected from the simple size ratio, in agreement with the sieving effect already mentioned.

**Hindered Diffusion in the Laponite Network.** From the reported change of \( D^* \) with size, it can be immediately inferred that a structural length around a few tens of nanometers does exist in the Laponite glass. The exact structure of this material is still under discussion, but this characteristic length should be related in some manner to the average disk-to-disk distance \( d \).

Consequently, the evolution of \( D^* \) with the diameter \( \sigma \) of the probe can be interpreted in terms of a simple mechanism based on diffusion hindrance by the Laponite structure. Tiny probes essentially migrate in the interdisk free solvent without feeling the platelets network, therefore showing an unaltered diffusion coefficient. As the probes become larger, they eventually experience motion hindrance by the surrounding Laponite disks that gets more and more pronounced as their size increases, in analogy to diffusion in a porous medium. Finally, when the probes are large enough that \( \sigma \) reaches a value on the order of the distance between Laponite particles, the hindrance is such that they appear embedded in the disk network, therefore experiencing an extremely viscous environment and no detectable diffusion.

In this context, we thus expect that the measured decrease of diffusion coefficient \( D^* \) with the increase of Laponite concentration \( c \) should be directly related to the concomitant decrease of the disk-to-disk characteristic distance \( d \). From a purely geometrical point of view, and assuming a homogeneous spatial distribution of Laponite particles, this suggests \( d \propto c^{-1/3} \). More quantitatively, one expects \( d = \lambda/\sqrt[3]{c} \) with \( \lambda \) being the Laponite mass concentration, \( \rho_{\text{Lap}} \) and \( \rho_{\text{glass}} \) being the density of the Laponite disks and the Laponite solution, respectively, and \( \sigma \) being the volume of a Laponite disk. In Figure 7, all experimental data for the normalized probe diffusivity \( D^*_\sigma \) are plotted as a function of the ratio between the probe diameter and the above estimate of the mean disk-to-disk distance \( \sigma/d(c) \).

Going further, the dependence of diffusion on geometrical parameters, \( \sigma \) and \( d(c) \), suggests that the diffusion hindrance can be interpreted in terms of the hydrodynamic interactions between the probe and the Laponite disks structure, and not by their

---

\(^{49}\) Because of the presence of water, the Laponite glass density only depends slightly on \( c \). For \( c \leq 3.5 \text{ wt }\% \), this dependence can be neglected as \( \rho_{\text{Lap}} \) and \( \rho_{\text{water}} \) differ by less than 2%.
physicochemical interaction. Accordingly, the mechanism of probe diffusion in the Laponite glass is similar to that of hindered diffusion in an open porous network.19,20

Because of the long-range nature of hydrodynamic interaction, the description of the diffusion processes in static (or dynamic) networks is, in general, a formidable task. We point, for example, to refs 22 and 23 for recent works on the diffusion of tracers in rod networks. In the present case, our measurements were performed after gelation occurred, and one may thus consider the laponite network as static for the tracer diffusion. Accordingly, we do not consider the influence of aging of the laponite structure at very long times; this should result in a small, long-time diffusion of the largest probes, which is not measured with the present experimental setup (see discussion below). Furthermore, the laponite network is made of an arrangement of disks, and, to our knowledge, tracer diffusion in such a network has not been considered theoretically. Yet, we thus propose a simplified approach, aiming to rationalize our experimental results.

In the limit where the probe size is small compared to the disk-to-disk distance, \( \sigma \ll d \), the long-ranged hydrodynamic interactions can be expanded in powers of \( \sigma/d \), thus leading to a probe diffusion coefficient behaving as20,50

\[
\frac{D}{D_0} = 1 - \alpha \frac{\sigma}{d} + \beta \left( \frac{\sigma}{d} \right)^2 + \ldots \tag{4}
\]

with \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) being numerical coefficients (\( \alpha > 0 \)). In general, the expansion organizes itself as a multiple expansion of the hydrodynamic interactions between the probe and the structure.

Of course, such an expansion is expected to break down as the size of the probe reaches a fraction of the interparticle distance, \( \sigma \sim d \); this is the dense regime. For laponite disks with diameter of 30 nm, this also corresponds to the situation where the probe size is of the same order as the laponite disk size. In this limit, the probe’s motion is strongly confined between the disks of the laponite network, and the hydrodynamic interactions are strongly screened. However, one may note that, in this regime, probe diffusion should mainly involve motion of the beads parallel to the laponite disks, at a distance to the disk fixed by a fraction of \( d \) (since motion perpendicular to the disks is even more strongly hindered50). Under a drastic simplification, we accordingly propose to reduce the probe diffusive dynamics to this elementary process, i.e., involving motion parallel to laponite disks.

The mobility of a sphere moving parallel to a plane has been considered by Brenner,26 and more recently in refs 51–53 in the context of diffusion in strongly confined geometry. An expression for the parallel diffusion coefficient between two walls separated by a distance \( d \) has been obtained in this geometry50–53 and reads

\[
\frac{D_0}{D_1} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{3\sigma}{d} + \frac{1}{1 - \frac{3\sigma}{d}}} \tag{5}
\]

with \( C \) being a numerical constant (\( C = 9/8 \) for diffusion between two infinite rigid walls). This equation was shown to provide a good approximation for the diffusion processes down to strong confinement.53 Here a limit is also provided by the condition \( \sigma < d/C \). For larger \( d \), a vanishing diffusion coefficient is expected. This expression should be merely considered as an interpolation between a strongly hindered regime, with \( \sigma \sim d \) and \( D \sim 0 \), and the dilute regime, with \( \sigma \ll d \), in which the diffusion coefficients expands in \( \sigma/d \) along eq 4.

Nonetheless, it provides a useful fit for the evolution of the diffusion coefficient with \( \sigma/d \), as is shown in Figure 7, the experimental results show good agreement with the fitting formula, eq 5, with \( C = 0.9 \).

Let us conclude this section with a few remarks:

First, as noted above, we have considered a “frozen” Laponite structure, while in fact the diffusion motion of the probe inside the Laponite glass may be able to induce a local deformation of the structure. Indeed, because of the soft nature of the Laponite glass, the clay platelets might be able to rotate or move a small distance to make the probe migration easier. For instance, the time needed for the intermediate 37 nm probe, diffusing in 2 wt % Laponite, to move over a clay particle diameter is about \( 10^{-4} \) s. This is to compare with the characteristic time of the free diffusion of Laponite, i.e., the relaxation time of the rattling motion of a platelet in the cage formed by its neighbors, which has been evaluated by dynamic light scattering to be \( \sim 10^{-4} \) s.53 Consequently, the Laponite network may reorganize locally during the passage of a nanosphere and potentially facilitate its diffusion.

Second, an interesting remark is that the simple relationship \( D(c) \sim c^{-1/3} \) provides a sound estimate of the disk-to-disk distance characterizing the diffusive dynamics inside the Laponite glass structure. This promotes the idea that the spatial distribution of the platelets is homogeneous, although definite proof of this homogeneous structure has not been reached up to now in the literature. Using the distinction between a colloidal gel and a colloidal glass given in ref 16, our result is in favor of a glass whose characteristic length scale is on the order of the interparticle distance, whereas the characteristic length scale of a gel would be expected to be much larger than the size of its constitutive colloids.

Finally, it is interesting to mention that the above evolution of \( D(\sigma) \) can be alternatively interpreted by considering that the colloids migrate in an inhomogeneous medium showing a scale-dependent effective viscosity \( \eta_{\text{eff}}(\sigma) \). This interpretation has been proposed in a different context for the scale-dependent viscosity of polymers melts,54 as well as in recent experiments on diffusion in crowded micellar solutions.24

In the present case, this viscosity can be estimated using a Stokes–Einstein-type relation, thus defining a viscosity \( \eta_{\text{eff}}(\sigma) = kT / (3\pi \eta D(\sigma)) \). The extreme values of \( \eta_{\text{eff}}(\sigma) \) are known: in the limit where the colloids are so small that they diffuse freely in the Laponite structure, \( \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \eta_{\text{eff}}(\sigma) = \eta_{\text{water}} \); in the opposite limit where the colloids are large compared to the Laponite structure, they feel the macroscopic viscosity of the Laponite glass and \( \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \sigma_{\text{macro}}} \eta_{\text{eff}}(\sigma) = \eta_{\text{macro}} \gg \eta_{\text{water}} \). Typically, \( \eta_{\text{macro}} \) is \( 5–6 \) orders of magnitude higher than the water viscosity.16,24 For intermediate scales, the scale-dependent viscosity will interpolate between these extreme values. Assuming \( \eta_{\text{macro}} = \infty \), eq 5 provides an empirical expression for the scale dependent viscosity, in the form \( \eta_{\text{eff}}(\sigma) = \eta_{\text{water}} [2(1 - C\sigma/d)^{-1} - 1] \) for \( \sigma < d/C \), while \( \eta_{\text{eff}} = \infty \) for \( \sigma > d/C \).

Conclusion

In the present work, we have used a modified FRAP setup to measure the diffusion coefficient of fluorescent nanoprobes, of sizes ranging between 1 and 100 nm, in Laponite glasses of concentrations from 1 to 3.4 wt %. A change of diffusive behavior is evidenced for probe sizes around a few tens of nanometers.
which corresponds to the typical distance between the disk of clay constituting the soft glass skeleton. Far below this value, the nanospheres migrate in the solvent showing little interaction with the Laponite, and their diffusion coefficient is the same as in pure solvent. Far above this value, the motion of the nanospheres is strongly hindered by the network of Laponite platelets, and their diffusion coefficient is seen to vanish. In between, increasing the probe size leads to a gradual increase of the hindrance of diffusive motion by Laponite platelets from free solvent diffusion down to experimentally undetectable motion. The diffusion coefficient measured for various probe sizes and Laponite concentrations are shown to be well accounted for when considering only a dependency on probe size to disk-to-disk distance ratio. This simple dependence promotes the picture of a homogeneous glass structure characterized by a well-defined interparticle distance, and indicates that the hindered diffusion originates in the hydrodynamic interaction between the probe and the Laponite glass structure. In particular, it shows that, in such a situation, the relationship between diffusivity and probe size results from the heterogeneous nature of the soft glass at the scale of the probe, which can be accounted for by a scale-dependent viscosity in line with results for polymers.
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